

Aspects of topology of condensates and knotted solitons in condensed matter systems

Egor Babaev*

Institute for Theoretical Physics, Uppsala University Box 803, S-75108 Uppsala, Sweden

The knotted solitons introduced by Faddeev and Niemi is presently a subject of great interest in particle and mathematical physics. In this Letter we give a condensed matter interpretation of the recent results of Faddeev and Niemi .

Since the celebrated work by Abrikosov [1] on vortices in superconductors, the field of the topological defects in superfluids evolved into one of the largest and most diverse parts of condensed matter physics [2].

In this Letter we discuss possibilities of formation in condensed matter systems of the *knotted solitons* and their basic properties. This principally new type of topological excitations was introduced by Faddeev and Niemi and was discussed first in mathematical and high energy physics [5]- [10]. It appears that condensed matter physics is a field with an especially wide range of possible applications for the of the concept of knotted solitons.

A formation of a knot soliton requires a system with more degrees of freedom and different topology than ordinary BCS superconductors. In particular, as discussed in the abovementioned papers by Faddeev and Niemi the knot solitons may form in a system of two charged scalar fields. In condensed matter physics the system of two charged complex scalar fields were discussed earlier in context of two-band superconductivity [11]. In two gap superconductors a Fermi surface passes through two bands thus giving a theoretical possibility to a formation of two superconductive condensates. Presently, there are also ongoing discussions of the possible coexistence of two condensates in High-Temperature Superconductors. Another condensed matter system which theoretically allows two condensates is the liquid metallic hydrogen and deuterium. Liquid metallic hydrogen should allow coexistence of superconductivity of electronic and protonic Cooper pairs , as it was investigated by Mouloupoulos and Ashcroft [12]. In a liquid metallic deuterium a superfluidity of deuterons may coexist with superconductivity of electronic Cooper pairs [13] (see also [14]) .

A system of two coupled through magnetic field charged condensates can be described by means of a two-flavour (denoted by $i = 1, 2$) Ginzburg-Landau functional:

$$F = \sum_{i=1,2} \int d^3x \left[\frac{1}{4m_i} |(\partial_k + i2eA_k)\Psi_i|^2 + \frac{1}{4m_i} |(\partial_k - i2eA_k)\Psi_i|^2 + b_i |\Psi_i|^2 + \frac{c_i}{2} |\Psi_i|^4 + \frac{H^2}{8\pi} \right] \quad (1)$$

We consider a general case when condensates are charac-

terized by different effective masses m_i , densities $\langle \Psi_i \rangle$ and coherence lengths ξ_i . For this system we derive an effective Faddeev model following to Faddeev-Niemi method.

The two condensates are coupled through an electromagnetic field. Variation with respect to A_k gives the following expression for the magnetic field in such a system:

$$\frac{1}{2} \text{rot} \mathbf{B} = \sum_i \frac{2e^2}{m_i} \mathbf{A} |\Psi_i|^2 - \frac{e}{2m_1} \{ \Psi_1^* \partial \Psi_1 - \Psi_1 \partial \Psi_1^* \} + \frac{e}{2m_2} \{ \Psi_2^* \partial \Psi_2 - \Psi_2 \partial \Psi_2^* \}. \quad (2)$$

Let us rewrite the gradient term in the following form:

$$E = \int d^3x \left[\frac{\Xi}{4} \left\{ \sin^2 \gamma |(\partial_k + i2eA_k)f_1|^2 + \cos^2 \gamma |(\partial_k - i2eA_k)f_2|^2 \right\} \right] \quad (3)$$

Here $f_i = |\Psi_i|/\bar{\Psi}_i$, where $\Xi^{-1} = (m_1/\bar{\Psi}_1^2) \sin^2 \gamma = (m_2/\bar{\Psi}_2^2) \cos^2 \gamma$ and $\bar{\Psi}_i$ stands for the average value of Ψ_i .

We parametrise the variables (f_1, f_2) as:

$$(f_1(\mathbf{x}), f_2(\mathbf{x})) = \sigma(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \left(\cos \gamma \sin \frac{\theta(\mathbf{x})}{2} \cdot e^{i\varphi_1(\mathbf{x})}, \sin \gamma \cos \frac{\theta(\mathbf{x})}{2} \cdot e^{i\varphi_2(\mathbf{x})} \right) \quad (4)$$

where the variable $\sigma(\mathbf{x})$ is chosen so that, for uniform condensates $|\Psi_i(\mathbf{x}_\infty)| = \bar{\Psi}_i$ it assumes the value $\sigma^* = 2/\cos(2\gamma)$. Following to Faddeev and Niemi method we are using equations of motion to eliminate gauge field and reexpress the free energy (1) to next-to-leading order in the gradients of condensates. That is, we determine A_k from (2) in the variables $f_{1,2}$ and substitute the result in (1). As the next step we define a three-component unit vector [6]

$$\vec{\mathbf{n}} = (\cos(\varphi_1 + \varphi_2) \sin \theta, \sin(\varphi_1 + \varphi_2) \sin \theta, \cos \theta) \quad (5)$$

and eliminate the $f_{1,2}$ fields in favour of the new variables $\vec{\mathbf{n}}$ and σ . Thus we arrive to an effective Faddeev model for two condensates of unequal masses and densities:

*Email: egor@teorfys.uu.se <http://www.teorfys.uu.se/people/egor/> Tel: +46-18-4717629 Fax +46-18-533180

$$\begin{aligned}
F = \int d^3x & \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \left[\frac{m_1}{\bar{\Psi}_1^2} + \frac{m_2}{\bar{\Psi}_2^2} \right]^{-1} (\partial_k \sigma)^2 + \right. \\
& \frac{\sigma^2}{16} \left[\frac{m_1}{\bar{\Psi}_1^2} + \frac{m_2}{\bar{\Psi}_2^2} \right]^{-1} |\partial_k \bar{\mathbf{n}}|^2 + \frac{1}{128e^2} (\bar{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \partial_i \bar{\mathbf{n}} \times \partial_j \bar{\mathbf{n}})^2 + \\
& \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \left(\left[b_1 \bar{\Psi}_1^2 \cos^2 \gamma + b_2 \bar{\Psi}_2^2 \sin^2 \gamma + \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. \frac{\sigma^2}{4} (c_1 \bar{\Psi}_1^4 \cos^4 \gamma + c_2 \bar{\Psi}_2^4 \sin^4 \gamma) \right] - \right. \\
& \left. \left[b_1 \bar{\Psi}_1^2 \cos^2 \gamma - b_2 \bar{\Psi}_2^2 \sin^2 \gamma + \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. \frac{\sigma^2}{2} (c_1 \bar{\Psi}_1^4 \cos^4 \gamma - c_2 \bar{\Psi}_2^4 \sin^4 \gamma) \right] n_3 + \right. \\
& \left. \left. \frac{\sigma^2}{4} (c_1 \bar{\Psi}_1^4 \cos^4 \gamma + c_2 \bar{\Psi}_2^4 \sin^4 \gamma) n_3^2 \right) \right\} \quad (6)
\end{aligned}$$

This model has similar structure with the model that was considered in [6]

$$H = \varepsilon \int d^3x \left[\alpha \cdot |\partial_k \bar{\mathbf{n}}|^2 + \frac{1}{4e^2} (\bar{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \partial_i \bar{\mathbf{n}} \times \partial_j \bar{\mathbf{n}})^2 \right] \quad (7)$$

A system characterized by such a Hamiltonian admits stable knotted solitons [6]. In terms of the vector $\bar{\mathbf{n}}$ the knot soliton in the Faddeev model (7) has the following structure: At large distances away from the knot, the unit vector $\bar{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{x})$ should approach the constant value $\bar{\mathbf{n}}_0$. Let us choose this asymptotic value to be the south pole $\bar{\mathbf{n}}_0 = (0, 0, -1)$. The pre-image of the north pole $\bar{\mathbf{n}}_c = (0, 0, 1)$ is a closed curve, associated with the core of the closed vortex and may form a knot or a loop. The unit vector $\bar{\mathbf{n}}$ defines a point on S^2 and thus $\bar{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{x})$ defines a map from the compactified $R^3 \propto S^3 \rightarrow S^2$. Such mappings fall into nontrivial homotopy classes $\pi_3(S^2) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ that can be characterized by a Hopf invariant. The variables of (5) describe a helical structure, with the Hopf invariant being given by [6]:

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_H &= -(e^2 16\pi^2)^{-1} \int d^3x \bar{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{A}} = \\
& \int d^3x \nabla \cos \theta \cdot \nabla \varphi_1 / 2\pi \times \nabla \varphi_2 / 2\pi = \Delta \varphi_1 \cdot \Delta \varphi_2 \quad (8)
\end{aligned}$$

Here $\Delta \varphi_1$ and $\Delta \varphi_2$ denote the (2π) changes in the phases of the wave functions of condensates along a path covering the closed/knotted vortex tube once in the toroidal and poloidal directions over a surface with constant $\theta \in (0, \pi)$. In the case of two-condensate model (1) there is no exact $O(3)$ symmetry as it is also explicitly seen from (6). That is, the mass terms for third component n_3 of the unit vector $\bar{\mathbf{n}}$ breaks the $O(3)$ -symmetry. Whereas (n_1, n_2) components of the unit vector $\bar{\mathbf{n}}$ are related to the phases of the condensates and thus this symmetry is exact, in contrast the n_3 component is connected with a degree of freedom associated with massive modules of the scalar fields - thus the n_3 component is massive and has

a preferable value. In the model under the consideration in this paper the energetically preferable value for n_3 is:

$$n_3^* = \left[\frac{\bar{\Psi}_1^2}{m_1} - \frac{\bar{\Psi}_2^2}{m_2} \right] \cdot \left[\frac{\bar{\Psi}_1^2}{m_1} + \frac{\bar{\Psi}_2^2}{m_2} \right]^{-1} \quad (9)$$

Albeit one of the components of the unit vector $\bar{\mathbf{n}}$ is massive, it does not affect the stability of the knot solitons in the action (6) which are protected against shrinkage by the Faddeev term [third term in (6)]. However the mass and preferable value for n_3 should affect the geometry of the knotted solitons.

In conclusion we discussed realisations of knotted solitons in a general system of two condensates giving a condensed matter interpretation of the Faddeev and Niemi results [6,10]. A remarkable circumstance is that such a condensed matter system which may describe e.g. the coexistent electronic and protonic superconductivity in a liquid metallic hydrogen [12] is described by an effective model introduced in [5] that was derived before as an effective model for QCD in the infrared limit [6]. Thus it is a manifestation of the relevance of this model for an exceptionally wide variety of physical problems and close relation of the problems of condensed matter and particle physics [15]. A number of exotic features of these topological defects in a general two-condensate system opens an exceptionally wide range of interesting questions associated with properties, formation and interaction of these defects.

The author is grateful to Prof. L.D. Faddeev, Prof. A.J. Niemi, Prof. G. E. Volovik, Prof. N.W. Ashcroft, Dr. V. Cheianov, Dr. S. Kitorov and Prof. A.J. Leggett for discussions or useful comments.

Note added: Recently an exact mapping of the Ginzburg-Landau model to a version of the Faddeev model without performing a derivative expansion was discussed in [16]

-
- [1] A.A. Abrikosov Sov. Phys. JETP **5** 1174 (1957)
 - [2] In particular more complicated topological defects in ^3He characterized by a nontrivial Hopf invariant were discussed in [3] (for an excellent review and citations see also [4]).
 - [3] G.E. Volovik, V.P. Mineev, Sov. Phys. JETP **46**, 401 (1977) see also T.L. Ho, Phys. Rev. B **18**, 1144 (1978) and an experimental discussion in V.M.H. Ruutu *et al.* **60**, 671 (1994).
 - [4] G.E. Volovik cond-mat/0005431; G.E. Volovik Exotic properties of superfluid ^3He . World Scientific (1992).
 - [5] L. Faddeev, *Quantisation of Solitons*, preprint IAS Print-75-QS70, 1975; and in *Einstein and Several Contemporary Tendencies in the Field Theory of Elementary Particles in Relativity, Quanta and Cosmology* vol. 1, M. Pantaleo, F. De Finis (eds.), Johnson Reprint, 1979

- [6] L. Faddeev, A.J. Niemi, Nature **387** (1997) 58; and Phys. Rev. Lett. **82** (1999) 1624; hep-th/0101078
- [7] A.J. Niemi Phys.Rev. **D61** 125006 (2000)
- [8] J. Gladikowski, M. Hellmund, Phys. Rev. **D56** (1997) 5194 R. Battye, P. Sutcliffe, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81** (1998) 4798; and Proc. R. Soc. Lond. **A455** (1999) 4305; M. Miettinen, A.J. Niemi, Yu. Stroganoff, Phys.Lett.**B474** 303 (2000)
- [9] J. Hietarinta, P. Salo, Phys. Lett. **B451** (1999) 60; For video animations, see <http://users.utu.fi/hietarin/knots/index.html>
- [10] A.J. Niemi, L.D. Faddeev Phys.Rev.Lett. **85** 3416 (2000) ; L.D. Faddeev, L. Freyhult, A.J. Niemi, P. Rajan physics/0009061
- [11] H. Suhl, B.T. Matthias, L.R. Walker, Phys.Rev.Lett. **3**, 552 (1959) J. Kondo Progr. Teor. Phys. **29** 1 (1963) D.R. Tilley Proc. Phys. Soc **84** 573 (1964) A.J. Legget, Prog. of Theor.Phys. **36**, 901 (1966)
- [12] K. Mouloupoulos and N. W. Ashcroft Phys. Rev. **B 59** 12309 (1999) see also J. Jaffe and N. W. Ashcroft Phys. Rev. **B 27** 5852 (1983), A. E. Carlsson and N.W. Ashcroft Phys. Rev **B 27** 6676 (1983)
- [13] J. Oliva and N. W. Ashcroft Phys Rev **B 30** 1326 (1984). see also *ibid* **B 30** 5140 (1984); **B 25** 223 (1982).
- [14] N. W. Ashcroft J. Phys. **A129** 12 (2000).
- [15] For another recent discussion of possible other features in common in low-energy QCD and exotic superconductivity see E. Babaev hep-th/9909052; Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A 16** 1175 (2001) and references therein.
- [16] E. Babaev, L.D. Faddeev, A. J. Niemi cond-mat/0106152